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Many research topics in condensed matter research, materials science and the life sciences make use of crystallographic methods to study crystalline and non-crystalline matter with neutrons, X-rays and electrons. Articles published in the Journal of Applied Crystallography focus on these methods and their use in identifying structural and diffusion-controlled phase transformations, structure-property relationships, structural changes of defects, interfaces and surfaces, etc. Developments of instrumentation and crystallographic apparatus, theory and interpretation, numerical analysis and other related subjects are also covered. The journal is the primary place where crystallographic computer program information is published.
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**1. Introduction**

Small-angle scattering (SAS) of X-rays and neutrons is a fundamental tool in the study of the nanostructure of matter, including disordered systems and solutions (Feigin & Svergun, 1987). In a scattering experiment, the specimen (e.g. particles of nanometre-scale size floating in solution or embedded in a bulk matrix) is exposed to X-rays or neutrons, and the scattered intensity I is recorded. For disordered systems, the random positions and orientations of particles lead to an isotropic intensity distribution I(s), which depends on the modulus of momentum transfer s (s = 4 π sin θ/λ, where 2θ is the angle between the incident and scattered radiation, and λ is the wavelength). If the system contains identical non-interacting particles, for example for monodisperse dilute solutions of purified biological macromolecules, I(s) is proportional to the scattering from a single particle averaged over all orientations. This allows one to obtain information about the overall shape and internal structure of particles at a resolution of 1–2 nm (Feigin & Svergun, 1987; Svergun & Koch, 2003).

Recent progress in instrumentation and development of data analysis methods (Svergun & Koch, 2003; Petoukhov et al., 2007) has significantly enhanced the resolution and reliability of the models provided by SAS. A number of novel approaches have been proposed to analyse the scattering data from monodisperse systems in terms of three-dimensional models [see Petoukhov et al. (2007) for a review]; these advances have significantly increased the popularity of SAS in the study of biopolymers in solution. Among these methods, ab-initio shape determination techniques are especially important: first, they are applicable also for moderately polydisperse (nonbiological) systems, allowing one to retrieve the overall averaged shape over the component particles. In all ab-initio methods, particle shape is represented in real space by a parametric model, and the parameters of the model are altered so as to minimize the difference between the computed scattering of the model and the experimental data. A number of methods and alternative programs exist, which differ primarily in the way the shape is represented. In the first general ab-initio approach (Stuhrmann, 1970), an angular envelope function was implemented in the program *Sasha* (Svergun et al., 1996), which was limited to globular particles without significant internal cavities. More detailed models are obtained by representing the particle by finite volume elements, thus allowing internal cavities to be accounted for. Using beads to model the scattering object, which was first proposed by Chacon et al. (1998) and implemented in the program *DALAI_GA*, a search volume is filled by densely packed small spheres (also referred to as dummy atoms), which are assigned either to the particle or to the solvent. Starting from a random assignment, a Monte Carlo search, for example a genetic algorithm in *DALAI_GA* or simulated annealing (SA) in *DAMMIN* (Svergun, 1999), is employed to find a model that fits the data. A similar approach was implemented in the Give’n’Take procedure of *SAXS3D* (Walther et al., 2000), which runs on a grid of unlimited size. Heller et al. (2002) developed a program *SASMODEL*, representing the particle by a collection of interconnected ellipsoids.

Ab-initio methods have been proven to reliably reconstruct the low-resolution shape in numerous tests and practical studies, and they now belong to routine tools in SAS data analysis. Since little or no information has to be specified by the user in most cases, these methods are currently being incorporated into high-throughput automated data analysis pipelines (Petoukhov et al., 2007). The extensive use of the shape determination programs, including large scale studies, makes the speed of reconstruction a rather important issue. The Monte Carlo-based algorithms usually require millions of random models to be screened and are thus time consuming. Moreover, given that different shapes are obtained starting from different initial random models, often ten or more ab-initio runs need to be performed and averaged to assess the uniqueness of the solution and to reveal the most persistent shape features (Volkov & Svergun, 2003). Presently, most shape determination programs require hours of CPU time for a single run on a typical Windows or Linux PC; clearly this time needs to be reduced to the order of minutes or less.
This paper describes a new implementation of DAMMIN (Svergun, 1999), one of the most popular shape determination programs publicly available. The program, called DAMMIF (where ‘F’ denotes fast), has been completely rewritten in object-oriented code and the algorithm has been optimized for speed and efficiency. The algorithm was further improved in an attempt to avoid artifacts caused by the limited search volume. This was achieved by replacing the closed with an unlimited and growing search volume. A version of DAMMIF optimized to make use of multiple CPUs is also available. Furthermore, the implementation of DAMMIF, like DAMMIN, features options to account for symmetry and anisometry in the modelling if the relevant information is available.

2. DAMMIN algorithm

In this section, we outline the major features of DAMMIN that are important for an understanding of the DAMMIF algorithm. The reader is referred to the original publication (Svergun, 1999) for further details.

In the original version of DAMMIN, a search volume (usually a sphere with radius R equal to half the maximum particle size D_{max}) is filled with densely packed small spheres of radius r_j \ll R. Each sphere may belong either to the particle (index = 1) or to the solvent (index = 0). The shape of this dummy atom model (DAM) is described by a binary configuration vector X of length M \sim (R/r_0)^3 \gg 1. The scattering intensity from the configuration X is calculated as

\[ I(s) = 2 \pi^2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} |A_{lm}(s)|^2, \]

where the partial scattering amplitudes are

\[ A_{lm}(s) = i (2/\pi)^{1/2} v_s \sum_{j} j(s r_j) Y_{lm}^*(\omega_j). \]

(2)

\( (r_j, \omega_j) = r_j \) are their polar coordinates, \( v_s = (4 \pi r_0^3/3)/0.74 \) is the displaced volume per dummy atom, \( Y_{lm}(\omega) \) are the corresponding spherical harmonics and \( j(s r) \) denote spherical Bessel functions. The function \( f(X) \) to be minimized has the form

\[ f(X) = R^2(I, X) + \sum_k a_k P_k(X), \]

where the first term on the right-hand side is the discrepancy between the experimental and calculated data, and the second term summarizes penalties as listed in Table 1 weighted by appropriate factors.

The result after running the application is a compact interconnected DAM that fits the experimental data. If information about the particle symmetry is available, it is taken into account as a hard constraint by changing all the symmetrical dummy atoms simultaneously. A-priori information about the particle anisometry can also be taken into account.

The spherical harmonics expansion using equations (1) and (2) is computationally superior to the standard Debye (1915) formula, which is usually employed to compute the scattering from bead models. Moreover, only a single dummy atom is changed at each move and hence only a single summand in equation (2) must be updated to recalculate the partial amplitudes. This accelerates DAMMIN significantly, but still, as millions of function evaluations are required, a typical refinement takes about 2–3 CPU hours on an average PC for a DAM containing a few thousands spheres.

| Table 1 | Penalties as implemented by DAMMIN and DAMMIF by function and type. |
|---|---|---|
| Function | DAMMIN | DAMMIF |
| Peripheral penalty | Keeps the particle beads close to the origin at high temperatures | Explicit | - |
| Disconnectivity penalty | Ensures that the model is interconnected | Explicit | Implicit |
| Looseness penalty | Ensures that the model is compact | Explicit | Explicit |
| Anisometry penalty (with symmetries only) | Specifies whether the model should be oblate or prolate | Explicit | Explicit |
| Centre/Rg penalty | Keeps the centre of mass of the model close to the origin | - | Explicit |

3. DAMMIF implementation

Similar to DAMMIN, DAMMIF uses the scattering pattern processed by the program GNOM (Svergun, 1992); DAMMIF also follows the general algorithm of DAMMIN.

The program was, however, completely rewritten with the main aim of speeding up the operation. Major algorithmic changes in DAMMIN are described in the following sections.

3.1. Bead selection

A very important constraint for low-resolution ab-initio modelling is that in the final model all beads representing the particle must be interconnected to form a single body. Implementation of this condition is different between DAMMIN and DAMMIF. Fig. 1 shows examples of the cross sections through the initial and final bead models (top and bottom row, respectively) of DAMMIN (left) and DAMMIF (right). The beads are colour coded as belonging to the particle (red) and solvent (turquoise, blue, green) phases. Turquoise and green beads differ from blue ones only in that the former are relevant for the bead-selection algorithm described in the next paragraph and the latter for the unlimited search volume as described in the next section.

For each annealing step, DAMMIN and DAMMIF select a bead completely at random. DAMMIN updates the simulated scattering,
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computes the fit and penalizes possible disconnectivity of the particle beads before deciding whether to accept or reject the change. There, the disconnectivity is defined by the length of the longest graph (ensemble of beads, where each pair can be connected by moving through the beads touching each other in the grid), which is a CPU-intense operation. DAMMIF tests connectivity first and rejects disconnected models before launching into the time consuming process of updating the scattering amplitudes. The latter are computed if and only if a particle bead (red) or an adjacent bead (turquoise) is selected (Fig. 1); otherwise the step is cancelled and execution is resumed with the next step. A summary of the set of rules used to decide about the connectivity of models is given in Table 2.

### 3.2. Unlimited search volume

In DAMMIN, the search volume is configurable at runtime but fixed throughout the search procedure. The search volume is filled with densely packed dummy atoms before SA begins. Limiting the volume may be a useful feature for shape reconstruction (in particular, non-spherical search volumes can be employed to account for additional information about the shape, if available). However, in some cases, especially for very anisometric particles, a restricted search volume may lead to artifacts. Indeed, the bead representing the particle is obviously prevented from protruding outside the border of the search volume. If, during the reconstruction, the particle is formed close to the border, the search space becomes anisotropic, possibly leading to unwanted border effects like artificial bending. To avoid such effects, the algorithm of DAMMIF was modified, allowing for the search in a variable volume which is extended as necessary during the SA procedure. In the following, we shall mostly refer to this unlimited DAMMIF, but a bounded-volume version is also available on request.

Unlike DAMMIF, which fully randomizes the closed search volume on start-up (Fig. 1, top left panel), DAMMIF starts from an isometric object with the radius of gyration (Rg) matching the experimentally obtained one (Fig. 1, top right panel). This prototype (red) is constructed by adding successive layers of beads until the desired Rg is reached. The polyhedral appearance of the starting model as shown in Fig. 1 is subject to the hexagonal packing of beads – it should be noted that the shape of the initial model has practically no influence on the reconstruction. The starting shape is then covered by a single layer of solvent beads, shown in green. The green colour implies that, if such a bead is selected for phase transition, potentially missing neighbours are added to the search volume. To accomplish this, the coordinates of the neighbours are computed and looked up in the list of available beads. If a neighbour is missing, its coordinates are added as a new bead of solvent phase to the said list. To avoid runtime penalties due to linear searches on ever-growing lists, beads are stored in multidimensional binary search trees (Bentley, 1975), which are also known as kd-trees. Furthermore, amplitudes of newly created dummy atoms are lazily evaluated, i.e. they are not computed until they contribute to the particle scattering for the first time. Although lazily computed, once available partial amplitudes are stored in a cache for later re-use.

Adding neighbours as described ensures that beads in the particle phase (index = 1) are always surrounded by beads in the solvent phase (index = 0). Thus, the algorithm may traverse a potential, but not yet mapped, search volume. This was not possible in DAMMIN, where the closed search volume may have blocked the annealing algorithm from potentially better results.

### 3.3. Penalties

Penalties impose a set of rules on the dummy atom model to modify its likelihood of being accepted by the SA selection rule [equation (3), right-hand sun]. Hence, penalties are used to guide the annealing process. In general terms, the bead-selection algorithm presented above implements an implicit penalty. Owing to the improved rejection of disconnected models (Fig. 1 and Table 2), the likelihood of accepting a disconnected model constantly equals zero.

Table 1 summarizes the different sets of penalties implemented in DAMMIN and DAMMIF. In DAMMIF, the peripheral penalty was dropped as there is no more outer boundary to limit particle growth. Furthermore, the disconnectivity penalty became implied as a result of improved rejection of unwanted disconnected models. Instead, centre and Rg penalties were introduced. The role of the centre penalty is to keep the particle within the already mapped space, to prevent needless extension (and thus calculation) of the search volume, and the Rg penalty ensures a model of appropriate size. Looseness and anisometry penalties are implemented by both applications.

### 3.4. Parallelization

In DAMMIN, the SA algorithm is implemented as follows (Fig. 2, left-hand side):

$$X' \rightarrow X_0$$

SA algorithm as implemented in DAMMIN (left) and DAMMIF (right). An initial starting model $X$ is refined to yield the best possible fit to the experimental data. In DAMMIN, only one neighbouring model $X'$ is taken into account at a time. If multiple cores or CPUs are available, it is possible to prefetch multiple models in parallel, here shown as $X'', X'''$. Each prefetched model is then examined and either accepted or rejected, according to the rules of SA.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x \geq 2$</td>
<td>add $x$ to the graph the neighbour belongs to, add $x$ to the list of available beads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 1$</td>
<td>find the graph $x$ belongs to, remove $x$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0$</td>
<td>create a new graph, add $x$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0$</td>
<td>find and remove the graph built by $x$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2**

SA algorithm as implemented in DAMMIN (left) and DAMMIF (right). An initial starting model $X$ is refined to yield the best possible fit to the experimental data. In DAMMIN, only one neighbouring model $X'$ is taken into account at a time. If multiple cores or CPUs are available, it is possible to prefetch multiple models in parallel, here shown as $X'', X'''$. Each prefetched model is then examined and either accepted or rejected, according to the rules of SA.
(i) Start from a random configuration $X_0$ at a high temperature $T_0$ [e.g. $T_0 = f(X_0)$].

(ii) Flip the index of a randomly selected dummy atom to obtain configuration $X'$ and compute $\Delta = f(X') - f(X)$.

(iii) If $\Delta < 0$, move to $X'$; if $\Delta > 0$, move to $X$ with probability $\exp(-\Delta / T)$. Repeat step (ii) from $X'$ (if accepted) or from $X$.

(iv) Hold $T$ constant for 100M reconfigurations or 10M successful reconfigurations, whichever comes first, then cool the system ($T' = 0.9T$). Continue cooling until no improvement in $f(X)$ is observed.

It can easily be seen that the longer the algorithm proceeds, the less likely a successful reconfiguration becomes. As multi-core and multi-CPU systems are becoming more readily available, DAMMIF also makes use of these resources. To further speed up ab-initio modelling, DAMMIF employs OpenMP, a framework for shared memory parallelization (Dagum & Menon, 1998). To exploit the properties of SA as described above, a simple prefetch and branch prediction scheme was implemented (Fig. 2, right-hand side). Instead of a single neighbouring model $X'$ as in DAMMIN, DAMMIF computes multiple models $X', X'', \ldots, X^{(0)}$ in parallel (prefetch). Of these it is likely that most, if not all, will be rejected in later temperature steps. Hence, computing many neighbouring models ahead of time corresponds to a negative branch prediction.

4. Quality of reconstruction and practical aspects

Extensive tests on simulated and experimental data showed that the models provided by DAMMIF are comparable to those of DAMMIN and the quality of reconstruction is compatible with that presented by Svergun (1999) and Volkov & Svergun (2003). For highly anisometric particles, the models provided by DAMMIF may be more accurate thanks to the absence of border effects. A comparison of model reconstructions by DAMMIN and DAMMIF of a cylindrical particle with radius 10 Å and height 200 Å is illustrated in Fig. 3.

Of course, DAMMIF, similar to DAMMIN and other shape determination programs, is not applicable to heterogeneous systems like mixtures or unfolded proteins. For the analysis of higher-resolution data from small (less than 30 kDa) proteins, where the contribution from the internal structure is essential, other programs like GASBOR (Svergun et al., 2001) may be more appropriate for ab-initio analysis than the shape determination algorithms.

The $R$ factor $R(I, X)$ [see equation (3)] of the obtained DAMMIF model, which is provided to the user in the log file and in the PDB-type file (Protein Data Bank; Berman et al., 2000) containing the final solution, permits one to rapidly assess the quality of the reconstruction. Usually, $R$ factors exceeding 0.1 indicate poor fits and therefore point to incorrect assumptions about the object under study. It is further extremely important to analyse the uniqueness of the reconstruction, similar to DAMMIN, by comparing and averaging multiple individual runs, e.g. using the program DAMAVER (Volkov & Svergun, 2003). The improved speed of DAMMIF allows the user to perform these analyses in a much shorter time.

5. Conclusions

Here we present DAMMIF, an advanced implementation of the popular ab-initio modelling program DAMMIN (Svergun, 1999). Table 3 summarizes the differences between these two implementations: most notable is a reduction of the average runtime by a factor of 25–40, depending amongst other factors on the number of dummy atoms.

Figure 3
Reconstruction of a cylindrical particle with radius 10 Å and height 200 Å (bottom centre) from its simulated scattering pattern presented on the left-hand side [relative intensity $I$ versus inverse ångstrom; the distance distribution function $p(r)$ computed by GNOM is displayed in the insert]. The starting (top row) and final (bottom row) models from DAMMIN and DAMMIF are displayed in the middle and right panels, respectively. DAMMIN ran in a slow mode inside the spherical search volume (packing radius $r_p = 5.3$ Å, CPU time used 246 min). For DAMMIF, the value of $r_p$ was 3.0 Å and the run on the same single processor took 8 min.
ATOMS IN THE SEARCH MODEL. FURTHERMORE, A PRE-DEFINED SEARCH VOLUME THAT LIMITS MAPPING OF POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS WAS REPLACED BY AN UNLIMITED, ADAPTING SEARCH SPACE.

ADDITIONAL CONSTRAINTS SUCH AS PARTICLE SYMMETRY AND ANISOMETRY ARE AVAILABLE IN DAMMIF AS THEY ARE IN DAMMIN (I.E. AS A HARD CONSTRAINT) – EXCEPT FOR SOME HIGHER SYMMETRIES LISTED IN TABLE 3 WHERE DAMMIN ITSELF IS VERY FAST. AS AN ADDITIONAL OPTION, DAMMIF IS ABLE TO OUTPUT PSEUDO-CHAINS IN PDB-FORMAT FILES TO MAKE THEM MORE SUITABLE FOR SUBMISSION TO THE PDB.

IN THE PRESENT IMPLEMENTATION OF DAMMIF, MOST OF THE REDUCTION IN RUNTIME IS DUE TO ALGORITHMIC IMPROVEMENTS, SUCH AS DIFFERENCES IN BEAD SELECTION, AND NOT DUE TO PARALLELIZATION (FIG. 2). BECAUSE DAMMIF EXTENSIVELY EMPLOYS LOOK-UP TABLES AND THEREFORE USES MORE RAM, THE MEMORY TRANSFER OVERHEAD SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCES THE GAIN FROM THE USE OF MULTIPLE CPUS. THIS WILL BE INVESTIGATED AND, IF POSSIBLE, IMPROVEMENTS WILL BE ADDED TO LATER VERSIONS OF THE APPLICATION.

FURTHER WORK IS ALSO IN PROGRESS TO IMPLEMENT THE PREFETCH STRATEGY (FIG. 2), TO PARALLELIZE OTHER CPU-INTENSIVE PROGRAMS FROM THE ATSAS PACKAGE (KONAREV ET AL., 2006) THAT EMPLOY SA FOR MODEL BUILDING IN SMALL-ANGLE SCATTERING.
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TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>DAMMIN</th>
<th>DAMMIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expected runtime, fast mode†</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected runtime, slow mode†</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory usage, slow mode†</td>
<td>10 MB</td>
<td>100 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search volume</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Unlimited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle symmetry constraints</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle anisometry constraints</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model chaining</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallelization</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms</td>
<td>Windows, Linux</td>
<td>Windows, Linux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation language</td>
<td>Fortran 77</td>
<td>Fortran 95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† The CPU wall clock times for a run on a typical PC without symmetry restrictions are given. Fast and slow mode: packing radius corresponds to ca 2000 and ca 10,000 dummy atoms, respectively, in a sphere with radius \( D_{\text{max}}/2 \). ‡ Same as in DAMMIN, but the space groups \( P_23 \) and \( P_432 \) and icosahedral symmetry are not implemented. § Optionally, sorts the dummy atoms in the output file to form pseudo-chains.
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